| 1 | STATE OF ALASKA |
|----------|--|
| 2 | DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT |
| 3 | DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING |
| 4 | |
| 5 | ALASKA STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY |
| 6 | MINUTES OF MEETING |
| 7 | OCTOBER 27, 2017 |
| 8 | |
| 9 | By authority of AS 08.01.070(2) and in compliance with the provision of AS 44.62, |
| 10 | Article 6, a scheduled meeting of the Board of Examiners in Optometry was held on |
| 11 12 | October 27, 2017 at 333 Willoughby Avenue, 9th Floor – Juneau, AK |
| 13 | Those draft minutes were propared by the staff of the Division |
| 14 | These draft minutes were prepared by the staff of the Division of Corporations, Business and Professional Licensing. |
| 15 | They have not been reviewed or approved by the board. |
| 16 | mey have not been reviewed of approved by me board. |
| 17 | Agenda Item 1 – Call Meeting to Order |
| 18 | - Ingeria i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i |
| 19 | Dr. Barney called the meeting to order on Friday October 27, 2017 for the Board of Examiners in |
| 20 | Optometry at 8:06 a.m. This meeting was public noticed on September 7, 2017 in the Anchorage |
| 21 | Dispatch News and on the Alaska Public Notice system. |
| 22 | |
| 23 | Those present, constituting a quorum of the board were: |
| 24 | |
| 25 | Dr. Paul Barney, OD Chair, Anchorage, AK |
| 26 | Dr. Damien Delzer, OD Secretary, Fairbanks, AK |
| 27 | Mr. Eric Lingle, Public Member, Juneau, AK |
| 28 | Dr. Pamela Steffes, OD, Sitka, AK |
| 29 | Dr. Erik Christianson, OD, Ketchikan, AK |
| 30 | |
| 31 | Present from the Division of Corporations, Business and Professional Licensing: |
| 32 | |
| 33 | Patricia Lonergan, Licensing Examiner |
| 34 | |
| 35 | Agenda Item 2 – Review/Approve Consent Agenda |
| 36 | |
| 37 | April 7, 2017 Final Meeting Minutes |
| 38 | August 23, 2017 Final Teleconference Meeting Minutes |
| 39 | |
| 40 | On a motion duly made by Dr. Pam Steffes, seconded by Dr. Erik Christianson and approved |
| 41 | unanimously it was: |
| 42 | Resolved to approve the Final meeting minutes as drafted. |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |

| Board Member | Approve | Deny | Recuse |
|-----------------------|---------|------|--------|
| Dr. Damien Delzer | Χ | | |
| Dr. Pamela Steffes | Χ | | |
| Dr. Erik Christianson | Х | | |
| Mr. Eric Lingle | Х | | |
| Dr. Paul Barney | Х | | |

Agenda Item 3 - Review/Approve Meeting Agenda

The board reviewed the meeting agenda and made no changes.

On a motion duly made by Dr. Erik Christianson, seconded by Mr. Eric Lingle and approved unanimously it was: Resolved to approve the meeting agenda as drafted.

| Board Member | Approve | Deny | Recuse |
|-----------------------|---------|------|--------|
| Dr. Damien Delzer | X | | |
| Dr. Pamela Steffes | X | | |
| Dr. Erik Christianson | Х | | |
| Mr. Eric Lingle | Χ | | |
| Dr. Paul Barney | X | | |

Agenda Item 4 – Ethics Reporting

Dr. Barney asked if there were any ethics violations to report. There were no ethics violations to report from the board or staff.

Agenda Item 5 – Old Business/Tasks

Board reviewed advisory #5 that Dr. Delzer had completed regarding the need to register with the PDMP. The board discussed the need to add registration to PDMP into the initial license letter for new licensees.

The board reviewed draft regulation 12 AAC 48.930 requiring a licensee who is registered with the DEA to register with the Alaska Prescription Drug Monitoring Program.

On a motion duly made by Dr. Pam Steffes, seconded by Dr. Erik Christianson and approved unanimously it was: Resolved to approve the draft regulation from the regulations specialist and move it forward for public comment.

| Board Member | Approve | Deny | Recuse |
|-----------------------|---------|------|--------|
| Dr. Damien Delzer | Χ | | |
| Dr. Pamela Steffes | Χ | | |
| Dr. Erik Christianson | Χ | | |
| Mr. Eric Lingle | Х | | |
| Dr. Paul Barney | X | | |

Dr. Christianson presented his review of telemedicine/telehealth and went over the definition of the business registry, AS 44.33.38, which defines the telemedicine business registry. Boards that are regulated by the Department are explicitly prohibited from imposing disciplinary actions against licensed providers for providing telemedicine services, as long as the licensed provider complies with the statutes and regulations specific to the program under which they hold a license. There is both a medical and dispensing consumer protection the board must address when developing consumer protection regulations. Discussion centered on the remoteness of so many residents, the importance of making sure that regulations do not interfere with what is currently working, and addressing the need for providers to comply with appropriate and professional standards of care. The board also briefly reviewed the ARBO Telehealth member inquiry and the AOA State Legislation Information provided with the overview.

Dr. Delzer reviewed the continuing education requirement for military personnel noting that, in the past, during the different overseas conflicts, licensees would have had a challenge to get their continuing education completed for renewal. The intent appears to address those who are in a combat zone. The board determined that this should be included in the current regulations project.

- Task: Dr. Christianson will craft regulation language addressing telemedicine/telehealth for the next meeting for the board to review.
- Task: Staff will include 12 AAC 48.230 in the regulations project.
- Task: Staff will add PDMP registration requirement into initial license letter.

Agenda Item 6 – Investigative Report

Investigator Brian Howes was not available for the meeting. Dr. Barney briefly reviewed the investigative report for the period of April 3, through October 1, 2017, which showed that two matters were opened and closed and no matters remain open at this time.

Agenda Item 7 – Continuing Education

The board reviewed continuing education for those selected in the audit during the 2016 renewal. The board discussed that the 2016 renewal was the first year of online renewal and there seemed to be a discrepancy between attesting to having completed continuing education prior to renewing the license and having completed continuing education during the concluding licensing period. The board agreed that completing continuing education in the concluding licensing period would still assure that licensees were accountable.

On a motion duly made by Dr. Damien Delzer, seconded by Dr. Pam Steffes and approved unanimously it was:

Resolved based on continuing education submitted to approve the audit list of licensees provided with the exception of 152, 292, 317 and 356.

| Board Member | Approve | Deny | Recuse |
|-----------------------|---------|------|--------|
| Dr. Damien Delzer | Х | | |
| Dr. Pamela Steffes | Х | | |
| Dr. Erik Christianson | Х | | |
| Mr. Eric Lingle | Х | | |
| Dr. Paul Barney | Х | | |

- 118 TASK: Staff contact licensee to confirm they do not have additional CE to submit and will forward
- 119 licensees not approved to paralegal for review.
- 120 TASK: Staff to review with supervisor steps needed to change the verbiage that licensees attest to at renewal.

Deviated to Agenda Item 9 – Applications

There were 12 licenses issued since the last board meeting. Currently there are 207 active licensees, 62 out of state licensees. The State Law Exam was discussed and the board agreed that the State law exam should be updated.

TASK: Dr. Steffes will review and propose recommendations to update the State Law Exam.

Agenda Item 8 – Budget

Dr. Barney briefly reviewed the history of the deficit the optometry profession has experienced, advising that at one point the board had a surplus and the board decreased licensing fees. About that same time there was change in the law regarding orals and injections and the optometry profession experienced administrative expenses associated with that change in law. Board expenses jumped at the same time fees were reduced which created the deficit. Fees have since been adjusted and the expectation is the profession will be out of any type of deficit by 2019.

<u>Deviated to Agenda Item 13 – Discussion Time/10 Minute Topics</u>

Dr. Delzer asked that the board review and discuss Sec. 08.72.181. (b) An optometrist licensed in this state and serving in the military service of the United States, while in the discharge of official duties, may maintain eligibility to practice in this state without paying a renewal fee by registering the optometrist's name and place of residence with the department. And, perhaps inquire with legal what "may maintain eligibility to practice" means. It is important to the board to ensure the safety of the public and close any potential loopholes of obtaining licensing renewal without the need to pay fees or participate in continuing education and agreed that inquiring with the department of law was appropriate.

The board discussed the need for additional meetings to discuss any changes to what is proposed in the regulations discussion during the meeting today. In order to give everyone a chance to read, contemplate, and to provide time for measured thought regarding them. The board could have a conference call and move those forward that are ready and continue to work on those that are not. The board could also meet again in February before the AKOA meeting, it would be a minimal expense as everyone will be in for that meeting, to discuss and move forward those regulations that are ready to the regulations specialist.

Task: Dr. Delzer will work with staff on submitting clarifying questions to the department oflaw.

<u>Deviated to Agenda Item 10 – Legislative Update</u>

Dr. Barney stated that with the passage of CSHB103 in July of this year, there is a significant regulations project to accomplish. CSHB103 really puts the details of the practice of optometry in the board's hands versus having to go to the legislature every time there are advances in technology and education. The board of examiners in optometry will now be able to write the details of the practice

of optometry in terms of changing the regulations commensurate with education and training. The board will take this regulations project seriously, the foremost concern is the protection of the public, at the same time, allowing colleagues to practice to the fullest level of their education and training. This is going to be good for the State of Alaska in terms of access to care. CSHB159 was the Governors Opioid Bill, the intent of this bill is to put controls in place limiting the potential to over prescribe opioids. CSHB159 will restrict the initial prescription of opioids and will help monitor how many prescribers are prescribing for individual patients. CSHB159 also requires that those licensees who are registered with the DEA be required to take two hours of continuing education in pain management and opioid misuse and addiction every two years. The AKOA plans to offer this education at all educational meetings, so, not only will those licensees with DEA registration be able to take that class, but, all licensees can benefit from the training as well.

Dr. Barney reviewed that SB74 legislation that created the AKPDMP, the board thoroughly addressed SB74 during the last meeting. The board has moved forward to public comment, regulation drafted by the regulations specialist, requiring a licensee who is registered with the DEA to register with the Alaska Prescription Drug Monitoring Program.

Deviated to Agenda Item 14 - Correspondence/Annual Report

The board reviewed information that had been received from ARBO regarding Cope Accreditation, Bylaw Revisions, the Fall Greensheet and answered member inquiries from California, South Dakota, New Mexico, Maryland and Washington. The board then discussed an inquiry from Dr. Loftus regarding scope of practice. As the regulations project is just beginning and it will be a lengthy process, the board is unable to provide an answer to the inquiry at this time. Dr. Barney stated that we will need to define which educational programs meet the standard and we want to make certain that anyone with an advance license has gone through a high level of education and training. It is in the best interest of the profession and in the best interest of the public to have a limit on procedures we have deemed there is education and training for, we do not want to be in a situation where a licensee thinks they should be able to interpret what procedures their level of education allows them to perform.

TASK: Dr. Delzer will draft and send a letter to Dr. Loftus. TASK: Staff to send member inquiry answers to ARBO

Agenda Item 11 - Public Comment

There were no public members present for public comment.

Agenda Item 12 – Regulations Project

Discussion began with Dr. Delzer reviewing that with the statute change he is proposing deleting 12 AAC 48.210 (d) and replacing it with language that, if in the future, should there be advanced therapeutic procedures license, would require 14 additional continuing education requirements, it would bring us into alignment with those states who currently have advance therapeutic procedures, they require 50 hours every two years. Those additional 14 contact hours would need to be in pathology, pharmacology or advanced procedures. He is also proposing that the judicious prescribing, pain management and opioid use and addiction be required for all

licensees. Dr. Steffes inquired if we would then develop regulations creating a separate advanced endorsement.

Dr. Barney indicated that one of the ways he saw licensure moving forward would be to have an advanced procedures license, which would require additional education and training. There would be tiered licensure for a period of time. Licensees would have the option to get the advanced license now with therapeutic privileges, or continue with their current license, at the end of the time period chosen, everyone would have to get the higher level of license, and from that point forth, everyone would have the same level of licensure. Dr. Barney also mentioned that the other option, would be to require everyone complete education and training for the new advanced licensing now, however, he believes there would be a lot of push back on that. Dr. Steffes inquired if there was an opportunity to consider increasing the base level of continuing education for everyone now, if we are considering removing the 7 hour injection and the 8 hour pharmaceutical specific from the regulation, it would make sense to increase the number, so it is less of a jump when the advanced license is required. The board also discussed online education and increasing the number of approved online education hours.

Dr. Delzer proposed the following changes:

12 AAC 48.210. HOURS OF CONTINUING EDUCATION REQUIRED. (a) An applicant for renewal of a biennial optometry license, must document at least 40 contact hours of continuing education credit that meets the requirements of 12 AAC 48.200, and that was completed during the concluding licensing period. (b) Continuing education credit received under (a) of this section must include at least 24 contact hours of ocular pathology or pharmacology. (c) No more than three contact hours of credit in practice management is acceptable as continuing education credit under (a) of this section for each license period.

(d) A minimum of 2 hours of Judicious Prescribing/Pain Management and Opioid Use and Addiction are required of all licensees holding a DEA license.

(e) An applicant for renewal of an advanced optometry therapeutic procedures license must document an additional 10 contact hours of continuing education credit that meets the requirements of 12 AAC 48.200, and that was completed during the concluding licensing period, for a combined total of 50 contact hours. All 10 hours shall be of ocular pathology, pharmacology or advanced therapeutic procedures.

(f) An applicant for renewal of an optometry license for the first time must document completion of at least one-half of the continuing education requirements in this section for each complete calendar year that the applicant was licensed during the concluding license period. Authority: AS 08.72.050 AS 08.72.181 AS 08.72.272 AS 08.72.140 12 AAC 48.220.

12 AAC 48.200. LICENSE RENEWALS AND CONTINUING EDUCATION. (a) In order to be approved by the board, an applicant for renewal of a license must pay the appropriate renewal fee and submit evidence of completing continuing education courses, related to the practice of optometry, as specified in (b) or (c) of this section within the two-year renewal period as specified in 12 AAC 48.210. (b) The following continuing education courses, if related to the practice of optometry, are accept by the board for renewal of a license to practice optometry: (1) education courses offered at the American Optometric Association (AOA) Convention or offered at any American Optometric

253 Association affiliate state association convention; (2) seminars held by committees of the AOA or 254 organized regional Optometric Extension Program Foundation seminars for educational purposes; (3) 255 postgraduate courses offered by recognized schools or colleges of optometry; (4) remote learning, on-line and correspondence courses, except that no more than ten hours of continuing education 256 257 credits may be claimed in a single licensing renewal period; (5) courses approved by the Council on Optometric Practitioner Education (COPE); (6) educational courses offered by the American 258 Academy of Optometry (AAO). (c) Other continuing education courses not listed in (b) of this section, if 259 260 related to the practice of optometry under this chapter and AS 08.72, may be approved by the board upon written request of the licensee if request is submitted within 30 days following the course completion. 261 262 The request must include the following information: (1) name and address of organization sponsoring courses; (2) course title and outline of subject matter to be covered (3) instructors name and credentials; 263 and (4) location and dates of the course. Authority: AS 08.72.050 264 AS 08.72.181

264 265

266

267

268

269

270

271272

The board reviewed and discussed how the CSHB159 statute change will effect AS 08.72.140 and AS 08.72.170 that will be effective in July, 2018. The board decided they would come back to these two statutes at another time. Staff will inquire if a 2 hour class that all professions can access will be recommended by the PDMP program.

The board reviewed AS 08.72.181 and Dr. Delzer has proposed changes that the board will be considering. The board briefly discussed that 12 AAC 48.022 will need to be updated when the specifics of the advanced license are established and approved by the board.

The board reviewed AS 08.72.240 and did not see a need for a regulation update for 12 AAC 48.330 at this time. The board reviewed AS 08.72.272, Dr. Steffes will work on regulation language.

273274275

TASK: Board to review proposed regulation.

TASK: Staff will inquire if a 2 hour class for all professions will be recommended by the PDMP.

276277278

The board reviewed AS 08.72.276 and discussed if further definition was needed in regulation. Dr. Barney provided a handout for board members to review proposing two new regulations.

279280281

282

283 284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

12 AAC 48.340 PRESCSCRIPTION AND USE OF PHRMACEUTICAL AGENT (a) Every optometrist desiring to prescribe and use a pharmaceutical agent, including a controlled substance, for the treatment of eye disease shall have satisfactorily completed courses in general and ocular pharmacology at an institution accredited by the Council on Post-Secondary Accreditation of the UnitedStates Department of Education and acceptable to the board. The board shall only approve the highest levels of education and training and shall license those qualified by such education and training to prescribe and use such pharmaceutical agents, including controlled substances for the treatment of eye disease. The use of any such pharmaceuticals by an optometrist or the obtaining of same by an optometrist shall require the optometrist to be in possession of a current license as provided in this section. Such optometrist shall furnish evidence to any pharmacist or other supplier from whom such pharmaceuticals are sought as to his or her holding a current license.

292 293 (b) Every optometrist's license to prescribe and use pharmaceutical agents, including a controlled substance, for the treatment of eye disease shall be limited within the scope of the licensee's education, training and experience as found acceptable by the board under paragraph (a).

294295296

Authority: AS.08.72.050 AS.08.72.272 (a) AS.08.72.278

298 12 AAC 48.341 USE OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES; LIMITATIONS

- (a) Requisite Prior Conditions. In utilizing any controlled substances, an optometrist shall comply withthe following:
- (1) Evaluation of the Patient. Evaluation of the patient shall initially include a full history, includingcomplete medical, pain, alcohol and substance abuse histories.
- (2) Medical Diagnosis. A medical diagnosis shall be established and fully documented in the patient's
 medical record, which indicates the nature of the underlying disease, presence of pain and pain
 mechanism if such are determinable.
- 306 (3) Treatment of Pain. An individual treatment plan shall be formulated and documented in the patient's medical record, which includes medical justification for controlled substance therapy.
- 308 (4) Patient Information. An optometrist shall ensure that the patient and/or his guardian is informed of the benefits and risks of controlled substance therapy.
 - (b) Controlled Substance Therapy. Upon completion and satisfaction of the conditions prescribed in
- (a), and upon an optometrist's judgment that the prescription of a controlled substance is medically
 warranted, an optometrist shall adhere to the following:
- (1) Treatment Records. An optometrist shall document and maintain in the patient's medical record,
 accurate and complete records of all history, physical and other examinations and evaluations,
 consultations, laboratory and diagnostic reports, treatment plans and objectives, controlled
- 316 substance and other medication therapy, informed consents, periodic assessments and reviews.
- 317 (2) Any optometrist qualified to prescribe controlled substances shall maintain complete records on
- any controlled substances he or she prescribes, which records shall clearly identify the controlled substances prescribed, the individual to whom each controlled substance was prescribed, the date of each prescription and the amount of the controlled substance prescribed.
- (3) An optometrist shall not dispense or administer controlled substances in their optometry practice
 and shall not keep an inventory of controlled substances on hand for purposes of dispensation or
 administration by an optometrist in their optometry practice.
 - (4) The controlled substances records required by this regulation shall be readily retrievable and available for examination, inspection, copying, and verification of accuracy, currency and completeness by the board or its designated employee or agent, immediately upon the request of the board, its agents, or employees, at any reasonable time, but without the necessity of prior notice by the board.

Authority: AS.08.72.050 AS.08.72.272 (a) AS.08.72.278

Dr. Barney noted that the four day limitation will need to be added into these proposed regulations. Discussion included placement of the four day limitation and if it is already stipulated in statutes, does it need to also be stipulated in regulation. Discussion also included opioid prescriptions for children, and if there is a need in regulation to assure safety for the child, as, there is a worry about diversion in some situations. If there was a risk component for the profession, that potentially, could be it. Is there an opportunity to make it safer for optometrists prescribing to a minor, even if it is only a four day prescription and how would you put that in regulation? Discussion resolved, there has not been an issue, the profession is very conservative.

Dr. Barney reiterated that today is the initial presentation of all proposed regulations. The intent is to enable the board to have the opportunity to read the proposals, and think about them. If board members see major changes are needed, they can be forwarded to staff, who will send them out to all board members for consideration prior to a conference call.

310

324

325

326

327

328

329 330

331332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340 341

342

343

Task: The board will review proposed regulations.

The board reviewed AS 08.72.278 and Dr. Barney proposed the following:

12 AAC 48.346 Therapeutic Procedures (a) Every optometrist desiring to utilize therapeutic procedures in the examination, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment, or performance of preventive procedures related to diseases, disorders, or conditions of the human eyes or adjacent and associated structures shall have satisfactorily completed courses in therapeutic procedures at an institution accredited by the Council on Post-Secondary Accreditation of the United States Department of Education and acceptable to the board. The board shall only approve the highest levels of education and training and shall license those qualified by such education and training to utilize therapeutic procedures, including anterior segment lasers and anterior segment surgical procedures. The use of any such therapeutic procedure by an optometrist shall require the optometrist to be in possession of a current license as provided in this section. (b) Every optometrists license to utilize therapeutic procedures in the examination, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment, or performance of preventive procedures related to diseases, disorders, or conditions of the human eyes or adjacent and associated structures shall be limited within the scope of the licensee's education, training and experience as found acceptable by the board under paragraph (a) and may not perform ophthalmic surgery unless the procedure authorized by the board.

Authority AS.08.72.050 AS.08.72.278 AS.08.72.273

12 AAC 48.350. ADVISORY OPINIONS (a) Proceedings on Inquiries – A member of the public, a licensee or a member of the board may request an advisory opinion regarding whether optometry practice procedures comply with acceptable standards of the practice of optometry, as provided under this chapter. For each request the board chair will determine whether the request is appropriately raised with the board under the provisions of this chapter. Not all requests for an advisory opinion will be considered by the chair. A request for an advisory opinion must concern an optometry practice procedure or policy and its compliance with acceptable standards of practice of optometry as provided under AS 08.72.050 and AS 08.72.060. Hypothetical questions will not be answered. Furthermore, a proposed practice procedure or policy matter must be sufficiently documented by the submitter for the chair to conclude that it is an actual practice procedure proposal or policy matter rather than a mere possibility or hypothetical. (b) Hearings on an Inquiry. In the course of an investigation involving a request for an advisory opinion, the board may conduct a public administrative hearing to receive the views of those who are interested in, or may be affected by, issuance by the board of an advisory opinion. Notice of the hearing is given to licensees and to others who, in the opinion of the board, may be interested in, or affected by, issuance of an advisory opinion. The notice may include a tentative proposed advisory opinion. The hearing is conducted by the board with any three or more board members participating. The board chair presides at the hearing and assures that these administrative procedures are followed. The chair may issue any appropriate procedural or evidentiary ruling in the course of the hearing and may be assisted by board counsel. The chair presents at the hearing the issues raised by the inquiry, the results of the investigation up to the time of the hearing, and any tentative proposed board advisory opinion.

Information is offered through witnesses, who may be assisted by counsel and are subject to questioning by the committee. Any information may be considered which is relevant or potentially relevant. A transcript or recording of the hearing is made and becomes part of the official record. (c) Proceedings on Inquiries – Advisory opinion. Upon completion of an investigation and hearing involving an inquiry, the board issues an advisory opinion as to whether an optometry practice procedure or policy complies with acceptable standards of practice of optometry under this chapter. Once issued by the board, the advisory opinion is promulgated by publication to licensees. Advisory opinions are compiled by the board, and the compilation is periodically made available to licensees.

Authority: AS 08.72.050(4)

Dr. Barney reiterated by having regulations written like this, will allow board advisories to list procedures that the profession can and cannot do.

The board reviewed AS 08.72.273, and the fact that the last sentence was not taken out, when the new statute was enacted. Dr. Barney does not believe they conflict, he advised that the intent of the new statute AS 08.72.278 is to give the optometry profession the authority to practice at the level education and training allow.

Dr. Barney believes advisory opinions could list out the procedures that are within the scope of education and training. In regulation we could list out in, general terms, procedures that are allowed, and then in board advisories, be more specific.

Questions brought up by the board include: Is a board advisory as binding as a regulation? The board will need confirmation that an advisory opinion is as legally binding as a regulation. Within the advisory opinion is that a mechanism to define the approved highest level of education and training? Would the advisory call out the approved training for specific procedures. There was discussion that initially it may be one educational tract that the board will allow. The advisory would provide a flexibility to make changes without the time involved in regulations projects.

Dr. Delzer confirmed with Dr. Barney that the advisory process steps would start with a licensee who has met the highest level of education and training, making an inquiry to the board, if something is a reasonable procedure, based on experience and training; the next step is the hearing process, where interested parties can comment on that procedure, including what the proposed opinion would be; the final step is actually issuing the advisory. The advisory opinion process would be put in place to vet potential procedures outside the initial list of procedures, and allows a process for interested parties to comment, prior to the board making a decision. Dr. Barney clarified that the board would vet the original list of scope of practice procedures through this same advisory opinion process, as well as when someone inquires on a procedure not currently on the list of approved procedures, the same steps would be followed to add new procedures.

 Dr. Barney advised that we will have to have the regulations in place, prior to being able to address the actual scope of practice changes. The board can work on both concurrently. The goal is to write the regulations so that they are tightly defined, but with broad enough language, where, years down the road we do not have to go through another regulation project to include procedures that are being taught at schools.

Task: Board members to review proposed regulation.

Dr. Barney also drafted potential regulation for grandfathering existing licenses and presented it to the board.

 12 AAC 48.347. GRANDFATHERING OF EXISTING LICENSES (a) The board recognizes that existing licenses issued prior to July 17, 2017 may not meet the requirements of AAC 48.340 in connection with the prescription and use of a pharmaceutical agent, including a controlled substance, for the treatment of eye disease; and AAC 48.346 in connection with therapeutic procedures in the examination, evaluation, diagnosis, treatment, or performance of preventive procedures related to diseases, disorders, or conditions of the human eyes or adjacent and associated structures. Therefore, the board shall only renew such existing licenses up to July 17, 2026 and not renew the license of any optometrist to practice after July 17, 2026 unless that optometrist has completed or otherwise obtained the necessary additional education, training and experience consistent with the standards set forth by the board in AAC 48.340 and 48.346.

Authority: AS.08.72.050 AS.08.72.272 (a) AS.08.72.278

Dr. Barney stated that he arbitrarily picked the 2026 date for the sake of starting the conversation, it could be 2019 before regulations are finally enacted. That would give people ample time to prepare to take the additional education. Once we have established what the educational requirement are and what the timeline is, then a person submits the necessary education requirements they are issued the advanced license. Renewal would then be at the higher level, with the additional educational requirements.

The board discussed sending a letter to licensees regarding the new law and the fact that until the board puts regulations in place, the current level of practice has not changed. A new license will be required.

TASK: Dr. Delzer will draft a letter to licensees regarding the passage of CSHB103 and that until regulations are completed and in place, scope of practice has not changed. A new license will be required.

TASK: Board members to review proposed regulation.

Agenda Item 15 - Schedule Meetings

472

Board meetings scheduled:

475 January 3, 2018 12 PM – 1 PM Teleconference – Review and discuss proposed regulations.

February 7, 2018 8 AM – 10 AM Meeting – Review, approve regulations

April 6, 2018 Board Meeting in Juneau

Dr. Barney and Dr. Delzer signed wall certificates and Dr. Barney signed prior meeting minutes.

<u>Agenda Item 16 – Adjourn Meeting</u>

483 484 485

486

Dr. Barney adjourned the meeting at 4:04 PM.

Respectfully Submitted:

Patricia Lonergan, Licensing Examiner

Approved:

Dr. Paul Barney, Chairperson Alaska Board of Optometry

Date